IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1635 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.424 OF 2005

Bhartiya Suraksha Rakshak & General
Kamgar Union. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
The Security Guards Board & Ors. ...Respondents.
And
Santosh B. Bhome. ....Applicant.
....

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1636 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.424 OF 2005

Bhartiya Suraksha Rakshak & General
Kamgar Union. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
The Security Guards Board & Ors. ...Respondents.
And
Ajit Pandurang Bhunde. ....Applicant.
....

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1637 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.424 OF 2005

Bhartiya Suraksha Rakshak & General
Kamgar Union. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
The Security Guards Board & Ors. ...Respondents.
And
Rajesh Nandkumar Katiyar. ....Applicant.
....

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1638 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.424 OF 2005

Bhartiya Suraksha Rakshak & General

Kamgar Union. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
The Security Guards Board & Ors. ...Respondents.
And
Maniram S. Darai. ....Applicant.
....
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1639 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.424 OF 2005

Bhartiya Suraksha Rakshak & General
Kamgar Union. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
The Security Guards Board & Ors. ...Respondents.
And
Shrihari P.Jagnade. ....Applicant.
....
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1640 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.424 OF 2005

Bhartiya Suraksha Rakshak & General
Kamgar Union. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
The Security Guards Board & Ors. ...Respondents.
And
Ganesh Sitaram Jadhav. ....Applicant.
....
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1641 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.424 OF 2005

Bhartiya Suraksha Rakshak & General
Kamgar Union. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
The Security Guards Board & Ors. ...Respondents.
And
Sanjay Keshav Dhumal. ....Applicant.
....
Mr. I.S. Thakur for the Applicants.
Mrs.L. V. Lund i/b. Ms.Lata Desai for Respondent No.1.

Mr.K. S. Bapat for Respondent No.3.

.....

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO, J. AND
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

January 20, 2006.

P.C.

These are applications by the applicants for being added as directed pursuant to the order of this Court dated 13th June 2005. Considering that, all applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). The Petitioner to carry out amendment within one week from today. It will be open to the newly added Petitioners, if they are so advised, to take out appropriate application for interim relief, if any, which they are entitled to. With the above observation, the applications disposed of.

(F.I. Rebello, J.)

(Dr. D.Y. Chandrachud, J.)